

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/728,696	12/05/2003	James A. Williams	D-2939CIPCONDIV1	8640
7590 02/11/2005			EXAMINER	
Frank J. Uxa			PORTNER, VIRGINIA ALLEN	
Stout, Uxa, Buy	an & Mullins, LLP		<u> </u>	
Suite 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
4 Venture			1645	
Irvine, CA 92618			DATE MAILED: 02/11/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/728,696	WILLIAMS, JAMES A			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Ginny Portner	1645			
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin It reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day find will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from atute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nety filed s will be considered timety. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	5 December 2003.				
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)[	Claim(s) <u>25-38</u> is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>25-38</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) <u> is/are objected to.</u> Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.				
10)[	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bursee the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in Application of the control of	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)	•				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
3) 🔯 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB, r No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/5/2003</u> .		ite atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/728,696

Art Unit: 1645

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

Claims 1-24 have been canceled; New Claims 25-38 have been submitted.

### **Double Patenting**

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 2. Claims 25-26, 32-33 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 2 of U.S. Patent No. 5,919,665. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the allowed claims are directed to a species of invention of the instantly claimed genus of botulinum toxins, wherein the allowed species is directed to a fusion protein that comprises SEQ ID NO 23 and 28 that is fused together with a non-toxin protein sequence and the instantly claimed invention is not claimed to be a fusion protein but is a recombinant botulinum toxin that comprises SEQ ID NO 23, along with a non-toxin protein sequence which is not required to be fused together with recombinant botulinum toxin, and therefore may be conjugated or linked by other means other than that defined by the recitation of "fusion protein". The allowed species anticipates the instantly claimed genus of botulinum toxins.
- 3. Claims 25-26 and 32-33 of this application conflict with claims 25-26, 29, 34-35 and 38 of Application No. 10/271,012. 37 CFR 1.78(b) provides that when two or more applications filed by the same applicant contain conflicting claims, elimination of such claims from all but

one application may be required in the absence of good and sufficient reason for their retention during pendency in more than one application. Applicant is required to either cancel the conflicting claims from all but one application or maintain a clear line of demarcation between the applications. See MPEP § 822.

- 4. Claims 25-38 of this application conflict with claims 25-38 of Application No. 10/729,122. 37 CFR 1.78(b) provides that when two or more applications filed by the same applicant contain conflicting claims, elimination of such claims from all but one application may be required in the absence of good and sufficient reason for their retention during pendency in more than one application. Applicant is required to either cancel the conflicting claims from all but one application or maintain a clear line of demarcation between the applications. See MPEP § 822. SEQ ID NO 28 (recited in the claims of 10/729,122) comprises SEQ ID NO 23, and the claims in both Application's claims comprise botulinum toxin A portions (SEQ ID NO 23 and 28 which are from botulinum toxin A) with the recited soluble C-terminal portion and an N-terminal portion of the botulinum toxin heavy chain, and a botulinum light chain.
- 5. Claims 25-38 of this application conflict with claims 25-38 of Application No. 10/729,527. 37 CFR 1.78(b) provides that when two or more applications filed by the same applicant contain conflicting claims, elimination of such claims from all but one application may be required in the absence of good and sufficient reason for their retention during pendency in more than one application. Applicant is required to either cancel the conflicting claims from all but one application or maintain a clear line of demarcation between the applications. See MPEP § 822. SEQ ID NO 28 (recited in the claims of 10/729,527) comprises SEQ ID NO 23, and the

claims in both Application's claims comprise botulinum toxin A portions (SEQ ID NO 23 and 28 are from botulinum toxin A) with the recited soluble C-terminal portion and an N-terminal portion of the botulinum toxin heavy chain, and a botulinum light chain.

### Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed December 5, 2005 has been considered.

## Claim Objections

1. Claims 28, and 34 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claims 28 and 34 recite the phrase "wherein the toxin is in a solution"; the claim should recite the phrase ----further comprising----, or claimed as a composition comprising the toxin and a solution. Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Please Note: In light of the claimed invention requiring the recombinant botulinum toxin to comprise the recited portions the claimed toxins read on isolated complete botulinum toxins. Additionally the recitation of the term "recombinant" is being read as a process limitation, and the claimed toxin reads on the naturally occurring toxins absent claiming distinguishing characteristics that differ from that which occurs in nature, as no structural differences are

encompassed by what is now claimed from that which would be present in an isolated botulinum toxin produced by natural sources.

Page 5

3. Claims 25-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Dolly et al (US Pat. 6,203,794, effective filling date May 31, 1994) as evidenced by Ledoux et al (1994).

Dolly et al disclose the instant claimed invention directed to a recombinant botulinum neurotoxin (see Dolly et al, col. 2, lines 25-36), wherein the botulinum toxin is botulinum toxin A, B, C, D, E, F and G (see Dolly et al, col. 7, lines 18-30; col. 41, claims 2-3). (Instant claims 25-26; 32) The botulinum toxin comprises a light chain portion (see Dolly et al, col. 8, line 2; see Dolly et al, claims 1-3; col. 24, lines 62-65; Example 15), a heavy chain targeting portion and an internalizable portion (see Dolly et al, claim 4, and col. 5, lines 12-14) which are equivalent portions to the C-terminal and N-terminal functions of a botulinum heavy chain.

(Instant claim 27; 34) The botulinum toxin is claimed as a pharmaceutical composition (see Dolly et al claim 4) and is in solution with a pharmaceutically acceptable excipient (see Dolly et al, col. 41, lines 66-67).

(Instant claim 28; 33, 35) The recombinant botulinum toxin is expressed using a maltose binding protein (see col. 17, lines 29-39) expression vector (see Dolly et al, for example: col. 3, lines 54-66) and would therefore evidence a specific solubility conferred by the expression vector fusion protein.

(Instant claim 29-30; 37-38) The C-terminal and N-terminal portions of the heavy chain are bonded one to the other (see Figure 1B).

(Instant claim 31; 36) The light chain and N-terminal of the heavy chain are bonded to each other (see figure 1B). No distinguishing characteristics have been set forth in the claims to show that the claimed product by process "recombinant" limitation would not be the same or equivalent heavy chain obtained by a different process, specifically purified from natural sources.

The botulinum toxin is disclosed to be mutated through the addition of a non-toxin sequence, specifically a "cysteine" at the N-terminal of the light chain (see Dolly et al, col. 12, lines 55-61). An additional embodiment disclosed is the expression of the recombinant light chain as a fusion protein that comprises "a non-toxin protein sequence" that is cleavable by Factor Xa (see Dolly et al col. 28, lines 59-64; figure 1A) or is a GST fusion protein (see Dolly et al, Example 21, col. 31).

4. Dolly et al anticipates the instantly claimed invention as now claimed, in light of the evidence Ledoux et al provides that defines botulinum toxins to be water soluble proteins (see abstract, page 1095).

#### Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. These references will be applied against amended claims if necessitated by amendment.
- 6. Bigalke et al (US Pat. 6,822,076) is cited to show hybrid botulinum toxins that comprise an IgE binding domain.
- 7. Dertzbaugh (US Pat. 6,287,566) is cited to show compositions that comprise protective portions and neutralizing portions of botulinum toxin light and heavy chains.

Application/Control Number: 10/728,696

Art Unit: 1645

- 8. Foster et al (US Pat, 6,395,513; 5,989,545) are cited to show botulinum toxin compositions (see claim 5).
- 9. Gil et al (US Pat. 6,787,517) is cited to show a botulinum toxin agent.
- 10. Johnson et al (US Pat. 6,444,209; 5,939,070) is cited to show hybrid botulinum neurotoxins that include recombinant toxins.
- 11. Montal et al (US Pat. 5,837,265) disclose modified B and E botulinum toxins that comprise both a light chain and a heavy chain, the heavy chain comprising both the N- and C-terminal portions (see col. Col. 7, lines 1-59; col. 8, lines 18-20 and claims 3, 7, 11 and 15). The modified toxins were formulated into a pharmaceutical composition and would therefore be in a solution, such as saline (see col. 8, line 51), or combined with a non-toxin protein sequence (see col. 9, lines 32-38 "polypeptides, proteins, amino acids" and lines 45-46).
- 12. Murphy (US Pat. 5,965,406) is cited to show hybrid botulinum neurotoxins (see all claims).
- 13. Simpson et al (US Pat. 6,051,239) is cited to show a modified botulinum toxin (see claims).
- 14. Uhr et al (US Pat. 4,664,911) is cited to show immunotoxins that employ toxin B chain moieties.
- 15. Zdanovsky (US Pat. 6,214,602) is cited to show recombinant clostridial botulinum toxin light and heavy chain proteins together with an affinity tag (see claims 1-6).

Application/Control Number: 10/728,696 Page 8

Art Unit: 1645

1. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ginny Portner whose telephone number is (571) 272-0862. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Lynette Smith can be reached on (571) 272-0864. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Vgp

February 8, 2005

LYNETTE R. F. SMITH SUPERVISORY PATENT TECHNOLOGY CENTER SAME